1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9		
10	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	CASE NO. C10-1823JLR
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING
12	v.	APRIL 26, 2013, TELEPHONIC HEARING
13	MOTOROLA, INC, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15	MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC., et al.,	
16	Plaintiffs,	
17	v.	
18	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	
19	Defendant.	
20		
21		
22		

In an April 19, 2013, order, the court ordered the parties to file (1) a proposed joint redacted version of the court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (the "Findings and Conclusions") and (2) a proposed joint redacted version of the parties' deposition designations. (4/19/13 Order (Dkt. # 672).) The parties have complied with the court's order. (See Dkt. ## 676, 677.) Moreover, the court finds that each of the parties' proposed redactions meets the Ninth Circuit's "compelling reasons" standard for redacting records and testimony presented at trial. See Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006). Accordingly, the court will issue a redacted version of its Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law following this order. In the near future, the court will also file a redacted version of the parties' deposition designations on the public record. As the court has found that the parties' proposed redactions meet the "compelling reasons" standard, the telephonic conference scheduled for April 26, 2013, at 9:00 a.m. is no longer necessary. Accordingly, the court STRIKES that telephonic conference. Dated this 25th day of April, 2013.

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge

m R. Rlit